

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE 1		OF 2		PAGES 2			
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. Amendment #1				3. EFFECTIVE DATE 7/21/97		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUEST NO.			5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)				
6. ISSUED BY U.S. Dept. Of HUD ASC/Contracting Division 75 Spring Street., SW, Room 658 Atlanta, GA 30303				7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) Same as Item 6		CODE							
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State, and ZIP Code)						(X) X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. R-ATL-00030					
								9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 7/1/97					
								10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.					
								10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)					
CODE						FACILITY CODE							
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS													
X		The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers						is extended,		X		is not ex-	
<p>tended.</p> <p>Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:</p> <p>(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or, (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.</p>													
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)													
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.													
(X)		A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE TO THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.											
		B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).											
		C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:											
		D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)											
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor				is not				is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)													
This amendment #1 corrects Part IV, Section M, Paragraph B. "Cost".													
See attached replacement page 36 of 37, dated July 21, 1997.													
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.													
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)						16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)							
						Michael Swan, Chief Atlanta Operations Branch							
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR				15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA				16C. DATE SIGNED			
(Signature of person authorized to sign)						BY (Signature of Contracting Officer)							

Amendment 1-July 21, 1997

PART IV -REPRESENTATIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS
SECTION M - EVALUATION FOR AWARD

M-1 Relative Importance of Technical Versus Cost/Price Factors

(a) The Government will make an award to the responsible offeror(s) whose offer conforms to the solicitation and is most advantageous to the Government (i.e., that which represents the best value to the Government), cost or price and other factors considered. The combined relative merit of the technical evaluation factors listed will be more significant than cost or price in the selection of the contractor. While the cost or price factor has no numerical weight, it is a criterion in the overall evaluation of proposals. Furthermore the proposed costs or price must be considered reasonable and must reflect the proposed technical approach.

(b) The Government may award a contract to other than the lowest priced offer. In the event that two or more offers are considered technically equivalent, the evaluated cost or price will be of primary importance in determining the proposal most advantageous to the Government.

M-2 Evaluation of Technical Proposals -- Evaluation of the technical proposals will be based on the completeness and thoroughness of the proposal submitted. The offeror must demonstrate his/her understanding of the requirements set forth in the solicitation, in particular Section C, Specifications/ Work Statements. Offerors are asked to organize their proposal to correspond with the way the technical factors are listed.

M-3 Factors For Award -- The proposals will be evaluated on the basis of the following factors and weights.

A. Technical and Management Factors (145 POINTS POSSIBLE)

1. **(50 POINTS MAXIMUM)** Demonstrated prior and current experience in real estate title reviews and sales closings.

(a) **(15 points)** of single family properties (1 to 4 units).

(b) **(20 points)** FHA properties

(c) **(15 points)** in the Alabama counties covered by this solicitation

2. **(20 POINTS MAXIMUM)** Evidence of adequately staffed, trained, and equipped office (or the ability to establish such). Office must be reasonably located so as to provide convenient service to HUD and its clients in the area to be served, and to carry out all duties as specified in and within the time frames required by this solicitation.

3. **(15 POINTS MAXIMUM)** Demonstrated ability to review title information on single family properties and resolve any title issues (e.g. past due taxes, water bills, encroachments, easements, probates and plats of survey).

4. **(60 POINTS MAXIMUM)** Extent to which the proposal demonstrates:

(a) **(30 points)** A realistic plan to safeguard closing proceeds, ensure timely wire transfers, and forward closing packages in the manner prescribed in the solicitation and,

(b) **(30 points)** Sufficient internal controls to minimize the potential for misuse or theft of funds relating to the sale of HUD-owned properties.

- * **B. Cost** -- The offeror's proposed cost is the prices submitted in Section B-3 to complete tasks outlined in the statement of work. For determination of total price, proposer's closing price for the base period will be added to the closing price for each option year. An offeror's proposal may not be considered when prices are determined to be unrealistically low or unreasonably high.
Offerors should submit only one (1) copy of their price proposal.